

RENAISSANCE® ASSESSMENT SUCCESS IN EAST SUSSEX

**Battle & Langton CE Primary
School**
Battle, England

Gary Alexander, Deputy Head Teacher at Battle & Langton Primary, takes us through his journey of assessment without levels. He speaks about how Star was trialled with Year 3 and Year 5 pupils in his school and how they journeyed on to predicting their pupils' SATs results with Renaissance Star Assessments.



Find out more
renlearn.co.uk/success-story

**Assessment Without
Levels**

Progress Monitoring

Data Review

Ofsted

Assessment For Learning

My teachers have more information than ever on learning progress, and a lower workload

I am Deputy Head Teacher of Battle & Langton CE Primary School, a two-form entry primary school on the south coast of the UK. In 2014, I was keen to move on from old assessment procedures and took the abolition of National Curriculum levels as an opportunity for me to innovate practice. Although National Curriculum levels were familiar and comforting, there was no doubt in my mind that they needed to go. To start with, teacher assessment against National Curriculum levels took far too long, and generated so much work for teachers that it became an onerous task that dominated their thoughts. Accountability levels were rising far too high, and assessment information was being used to judge teachers rather than to support learning.

I stumbled across the power of Renaissance Star Assessments when looking at using Renaissance Accelerated Reader to support reading and organise my library. I was looking for something that would remove the burden of teacher assessment, whilst still giving strong and reliable information about learning. I needed the macro level information around group progress, the percentage of children 'on track' to achieve end of key stage expectations, and granular information about next steps in learning for individual children.


“I was looking for something that would remove the burden of teacher assessment, whilst still giving strong and reliable information about learning.”

To begin with, we introduced Star to Years 3 to 5 as a six-month trial. Children completed the short and painless computer-adaptive tests, and teachers received information about their learning instantly. It took us a while to begin to understand what all the information was telling us, and what parts we needed to look at first. Once we had a basic handle on the information, teachers began to realise that it more often than not confirmed their own perception of how well that child was doing, even though they hadn't 'ticked a sheet' to say so.

After the initial six-month trial, the other teachers became agitated that they were still filling in APP style sheets, whilst the trial year groups got all the information given to them with minimal workload. The time being saved was huge.

In the first full year we launched for Years 2 to 6 and Star Assessments became our only formal assessment tool. Although teachers were still informally assessing day to day - how else would they teach? - there was no formal recording of these judgments needed. About February of that year, I began to realise that either my school was incredible, or the default benchmark for reaching the expected standard was too low. I wish that 90% of my children had achieved the expected standard in their SATs as Star was predicting, but they didn't. But, of course, as this was the first year of the new 'more challenging' SATs tests, the Star data couldn't accurately predict anything.

After the results came in and primary head teachers across the country had finished weeping over the reading results, I did a correlation study of each test, comparing the SATs scores to the preceding Star scores. This proved that the correlation between the two tests was very strong (0.86 in maths, 0.79 in reading). This reassured me that Star could give a very accurate indication of KS2 performance.



The problem was that nobody knew where to draw the 'pass' line. I looked at the two sets of data and worked out what children needed to score in Star to be able to pass their SATs. This gave me a benchmark to work backwards through the years. Now we have a system that judges attainment very accurately, and can tell me at any point in the year which children are on track (given typical progress) to meet the expected standard at the end of the year. This gives me the ability to predict SATs scores this year with greater confidence than ever.


RENAISSANCE®

©Copyright 2017 Renaissance Learning, Inc. All rights reserved. | 020 7184 4000 | www.renlearn.co.uk

All logos, designs, and brand names for Renaissance's products and services, including but not limited to Accelerated Reader, and Renaissance are trademarks of Renaissance Learning, Inc., and its subsidiaries, registered, common law, or pending registration in the United States and other countries.

Brooklyn | Fremont | Hood River | London | Madison | Minneapolis | San Francisco | Sydney | Toronto | Vancouver | Wisconsin Rapids

Now we have a system that judges attainment very accurately, and can tell me at any point in the year which children are on track (given typical progress) to meet the expected standard at the end of the year.



In addition to robust attainment data, Star gives me relative progress information, which allows me to see instantly how children are progressing compared to all children using Star in the UK who started the year at a similar point. This is similar to the DfE 'value added' measure, in that it groups children according to prior attainment, and judges their progress against an average for the group. This way I can see how much progress my 'high flyers' are making when compared to all the other 'high flyers' in the system. This is so powerful!

All in all, I believe we have as good an assessment system for reading and maths as is possible in these times. My teachers have more information than they've ever had on learning and progress, and have a lower workload. Children, parents and governors have robust information given to them when they need it, and I have more confidence in my judgments of how my school is performing.

But don't ask me about writing!